# ORIGINAL PAPER

# QTL mapping of adult-plant resistance to stripe rust in a population derived from common wheat cultivars Naxos and Shanghai 3/Catbird

Yan Ren · Zhonghu He · Jia Li · Morten Lillemo · Ling Wu · Bin Bai · Qiongxian Lu · Huazhong Zhu · Gang Zhou · Jiuyuan Du · Qinglin Lu · Xianchun Xia

Received: 18 December 2011/Accepted: 25 May 2012/Published online: 14 July 2012 © Springer-Verlag 2012

**Abstract** Stripe rust, caused by *Puccinia striiformis* Westend. f. sp. *tritici* Erikss., is a severe foliar disease of common wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) worldwide. Use of adult-plant resistance (APR) is an efficient approach to provide long-term protection of crops from the disease. The German spring wheat cultivar Naxos showed a high level of APR to stripe rust in the field. To identify the APR genes in this cultivar, a mapping population of 166 recombinant inbred lines (RILs) was developed from a cross between Naxos and Shanghai 3/Catbird (SHA3/CBRD), a

Communicated by M. Sorrells.

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00122-012-1907-6) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Y. Ren  $\cdot$  Z. He  $\cdot$  J. Li  $\cdot$  X. Xia ( $\boxtimes$ )

Institute of Crop Science, National Wheat Improvement Center/ The National Key Facility for Crop Gene Resources and Genetic Improvement, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (CAAS), 12 Zhongguancun South Street, Beijing 100081, China e-mail: xiaxianchun@caas.net.cn

## Z. He

International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT) China Office, c/o CAAS, 12 Zhongguancun South Street, Beijing 100081, China

#### M. Lillemo · Q. Lu

Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, P.O. Box 5003, 1432 Ås, Norway

#### L. Wu · H. Zhu

Crop Research Institute, Sichuan Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Chengdu 610066, Sichuan, China

B. Bai · G. Zhou · J. Du · Q. Lu Wheat Research Institute, Gansu Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Lanzhou 730070, Gansu, China moderately susceptible line developed by CIMMYT. The RILs were evaluated for maximum disease severity (MDS) in Sichuan and Gansu in the 2009–2010 and 2010–2011 cropping seasons. Composite interval mapping (CIM) identified four QTL, *QYr.caas-1BL.1RS*, *QYr.caas-1DS*, *QYr.caas-5BL.3* and *QYr.caas-7BL.1*, conferring stable resistance to stripe rust across all environments, each explaining 1.9–27.6, 2.1–5.8, 2.5–7.8 and 3.7–9.1 % of the phenotypic variance, respectively. *QYr.caas-1DS* flanked by molecular markers *XUgwm353–Xgdm33b* was likely a new QTL for APR to stripe rust. Because the interval between flanking markers for each QTL was less than 6.5 cM, these QTL and their closely linked markers are potentially useful for improving resistance to stripe rust in wheat breeding.

## Introduction

Stripe rust or yellow rust (YR), caused by Puccinia striiformis Westend. f. sp. tritici Erikss. (Pst), is a worldwide devastating disease of common wheat (Triticum aestivum L.), and is particularly prevalent in temperate and maritime wheat growing regions (Stubbs 1985; Boyd 2005; Chen 2005). In China, the disease is most destructive in the northwestern and southwestern regions, such as Gansu, Sichuan, Chongqing, Shaanxi and Yunnan, due to the cool and moist spring conditions (He et al. 2011). Since the 1950s wheat YR epidemics have occurred in China for more than 15 times, most notably the four large scales of pandemics in 1950, 1964, 1990 and 2002 (Wan et al. 2004). In recent years, many wheat cultivars have lost their resistance to YR due to the occurrence and spread of Chinese Pst races CYR32 and CYR33 with broad virulence patterns. From 2003 to 2011, YR occurred in about 4.1 million hectares each year posing serious risks to wheat production in China (Tang 2004; Zhao 2004; Zhang et al. 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009; National Agro-technical Extension and Service Center (NAESC) 2010, 2011).

Although YR can be controlled through the application of fungicides, resistance breeding is a more economic, effective, and environmentally friendly approach to control the disease (Line 2002; Chen 2005). Resistance to YR can be categorized as race specific resistance or race non-specific resistance (Johnson 1988), but the distinction is not always clear. Race specific resistance is normally highly effective both in seedlings and adult plant stages. However, it is readily overcome by new races that are then selected on the previously resistant cultivars (Chen and Line 1995; Carter et al. 2009). For example, due to the wide cultivation of cultivars with Yr9 (1B.1R translocation) Pst races CYR29 and CYR32 became prevalent. In addition, cultivars with resistance from Fan 6 succumbed to race CYR32 in 2002 (Chen et al. 2009). In contrast, race non-specific resistance, often associated with APR, partial resistance or slow-rusting is expressed at later stages of plant development, generally qualitatively inherited and often durable (Johnson 1984; Chen 2005). Examples include the slowrusting resistance genes Yr18 and Yr29, which have provided effective resistance to YR since the early 20th century (Krattinger et al. 2009). These two genes also show partial and race non-specific resistance to leaf rust (LR), powdery mildew (PM) and stem rust (Suenaga et al. 2003; Rosewarne et al. 2006; Lillemo et al. 2008; Bhavani et al. 2011).

To date, 52 genes (Yr1-Yr49) for YR resistance have been catalogued, and these are assigned to 49 loci (McIntosh et al. 2011). Most of them are race-specific resistance genes that are no longer effective or likely to have short duration of effectiveness if widely deployed in cultivars (McDonald and Linde 2002; Lu et al. 2009). As a consequence, APR is being increasingly emphasized in breeding for resistance (Line 2002; Lu et al. 2009). During the last decade, many APR quantitative trait loci (locus) (QTL) for reducing YR severity have been identified with the help of molecular markers (Singh et al. 2000b; Bariana et al. 2001; Boukhatem et al. 2002; Suenaga et al. 2003; Imtiaz et al. 2004; Ramburan et al. 2004; Mallard et al. 2005; Uauy et al. 2005; Christiansen et al. 2006; Rosewarne et al. 2006; William et al. 2006; Lin and Chen 2007, 2009; Chhuneja et al. 2008; Guo et al. 2008; Melichar et al. 2008; Rosewarne et al. 2008; Santra et al. 2008; Carter et al. 2009; Dedryver et al. 2009; Lu et al. 2009; Lan et al. 2010; Hao et al. 2011; Herrera-Foessel et al. 2011; Jagger et al. 2011; Lowe et al. 2011; Chen et al. 2012) They cover almost all chromosomes except for 1A, 1D, 3A and 7A, and the QTL on 1BL, 2BS, 2BL, 3BS, 4BL, 5DL, 6BS and 7DS were frequently coincided with PM resistance QTL (He et al. 2011). Since APR is generally quantitatively inherited, it is more complicated for selection in wheat breeding than race-specific resistance (Yu et al. 2001; Lillemo et al. 2008). Nevertheless, molecular markers that are closely linked to these QTL will greatly facilitate selection for APR in breeding programs.

The German spring wheat cultivar Naxos exhibits a high level of resistance to YR and PM in the field although it is susceptible to Chinese *Pst* races CYR29, CYR32 and CYR33 and *Blumeria graminis* f. sp. *tritici* isolate E20 at the seedling stage, indicating typical APR to both diseases. Recently, QTL mapping for APR to PM in Naxos was performed by Lu et al. (2012); however, the inheritance of APR to YR in this cultivar has not been reported. The objectives of this study were to identify and locate APR QTL to YR in a RIL population from cross SHA3/ CBRD × Naxos with molecular markers, and to assess the stability of detected QTL across environments.

# Materials and methods

# Plant materials

One hundred and sixty-six  $F_6$  RILs were developed by single-seed descent from the cross Shanghai 3/Catbird  $(SHA3/CBRD) \times Naxos$ , which was provided by Dr. Morten Lillemo, Norwegian University of Life Sciences. Naxos (pedigree: Tordo/St.Mir808-Bastion//Minaret), an adapted spring wheat cultivar from Germany, is highly susceptible to currently prevalent Pst races CYR32 and CYR33 at the seedling stage (IT = 4), but shows a high level of resistance to YR in the field. SHA3/CBRD (pedigree: Shanghai 3//Chuanmai 18/Bagula), developed by the International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CI-MMYT), is highly susceptible to Pst race CYR32 at the seedling stage (IT = 4) and is moderately susceptible to YR at the adult plant stage. SHA3/CBRD has the 1B.1R translocation based on AF1/AF4, SECA2/SECA3, SCM9, IB-267, iag95 and Bmac0213 markers (Francis et al. 1995; Froidmont 1998; Saal and Wricke 1999; Mago et al. 2002; Nagy et al. 2003) and genomic in situ hybridization (GISH) analysis (Supplementary-Figs. 1 and 2). Both parents are adapted to growing conditions in China.

#### Genotyping

Nine hundred and fifty-two simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers were screened on SHA3/CBRD and Naxos, including BARC (Song et al. 2002), CFA, CFD and GPW (Sourdille et al. 2004), CNL and KSUM (Yu et al. 2004), GDM (Pestsova et al. 2000), GWM (Röder et al. 1998), MAG (Xue et al. 2008), SWM (Bossolini et al. 2006; Krattinger et al. 2009), UGWM (Parida et al. 2006) and

WMC (Gupta et al. 2002) markers. Polymorphic SSR markers were then used to genotype all 166 RILs from the cross SHA3/CBRD  $\times$  Naxos by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. The population was also screened with diversity array technology (DArT) markers. In addition, six rye (*Secale cereale* L.) 1RS specific markers (Tang et al. 2009; Yu et al. 2011) were also included for detection of 1B.1R translocation.

# Genetic linkage map construction

The genotypic data for markers were used to construct genetic linkage maps with the software MapManager QTX20 (Manly et al. 2001). Genetic distances between markers were calculated based on the Kosambi mapping function (Kosambi 1944). The assignment of linkage groups on chromosomes was checked against previously published wheat consensus maps (Somers et al. 2004; http://www.wheat.pw.usda.gov).

## Field trials

The F<sub>6</sub> RILs and their parents were evaluated in Sichuan and Gansu provinces in the 2009-2010 and 2010-2011 cropping seasons. The trial in Sichuan was conducted in Pi county (103°E, 30°N, 555 m a.s.l.), close to Chengdu, and the trial in Gansu was conducted in Qingshui county (106°E, 34°N, 1,572 m a.s.l.), close to Tianshui. Both locations are hotspots for YR in China with ideal conditions for rust infection and spread. Field trials were conducted in randomized complete blocks with three replicates at each location. Each plot consisted of a single row 1.5 m in length with 25 cm between rows. Approximately 50 seeds were sown in each row. Every tenth row was planted with the highly susceptible Mingxian169 to aid the spread of the pathogen within the trial. To ensure ample field inoculum, infection rows of Mingxian169 were also planted perpendicularly and adjacent to the test rows. Inoculations at both sites were performed at the three-leaf stage with a mixture of prevalent Chinese Pst races. Maximum disease severities (MDS) were scored, when YR severities on Mingxian169 reached a maximum level around the 15th of April in Sichuan and 10th of June in Gansu, respectively. Field data from Gansu 2010-2011 was excluded from the statistical analysis and QTL detection due to the low YR development caused by the dry weather condition in the spring.

#### Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance was performed with the PROC GLM in the statistical analysis system (SAS) software package (SAS institute, V8). The information in the ANOVA table was used to calculate the broad sense heritability  $(h_b^2)$  for YR reaction based on the formula  $h_b^2 = \sigma_g^2/(\sigma_g^2 + \sigma_{gc}^2/e + \sigma_{e'}^2/re)$ , where  $\sigma_g^2 = (MS_f - MS_{fc})/re$ ,  $\sigma_{ge}^2 = (MS_{fe} - MS_{c})/r$  and  $\sigma_e^2 = MS_e$  (Allard 1960); in this formula,  $\sigma_g^2 =$  genetic variance,  $\sigma_{ge}^2 =$  genotype × environment interaction variance,  $\sigma_e^2 =$  error variance,  $MS_f =$  mean square of genotype,  $MS_{fe} =$  mean square of genotype × environment interaction,  $MS_e =$  mean square of error, r = number of replicates and e = number of environments.

#### QTL analysis

Stripe rust resistance QTL were detected in the population based on the mean MDS of three replicates in each environment and also on the averaged data from three environments. Composite interval mapping (CIM) analysis was performed using the software QTL Cartographer 2.5 (Wang et al. 2005). After performing a 1,000 permutation test, a LOD threshold of 2.5 was set to declare QTL as significant. A walk speed of 2.0 cM was chosen for all QTL detections. QTL effects were estimated as the proportion of phenotypic variance ( $R^2$ ) explained by the QTL. The data were also analyzed with the software QTL Ici-Mapping V3.1 (Li et al. 2007, 2008; Wang 2009) by inclusive composite interval mapping (ICIM) for detecting interactions between two QTL.

#### Results

#### Phenotypic evaluation

Stripe rust developed well in Sichuan in 2010 and 2011 and in Gansu in 2010. The MDS of the susceptible Mingxian169 control ranged from 90 to 95 %, from 95 to 100 %, from 60 to 100 % in Sichuan 2010, Sichuan 2011 and Gansu 2010, respectively. Naxos had a mean MDS of 4.7-11.7 % across the three environments, whereas SHA3/ CBRD was rated with a mean MDS of 31.7-66.7 %. The frequency distributions of MDS for the 166 RILs ranging over 1-80, 4-95, 3-80 and 3-78 % in Sichuan 2010, Sichuan 2011, Gansu 2010 and the averaged MDS for all three environments, respectively, showed continuous distributions (Supplementary Fig. 3), indicative of polygenic inheritance. The broad-sense heritability of MDS was 0.65. The ANOVA showed significant differences (P = 0.01) in MDS among RILs, environments, replicates within environments and line  $\times$  environment interactions (Table 1).

## Construction of linkage maps

A total of 952 SSR markers were tested for polymorphism between SHA3/CBRD and Naxos; 279 markers (29.3 %)

Table 1 Analysis of variance of MDS scores for RILs generated from the cross SHA3/CBRD  $\times$  Naxos

Source of variance	df	Mean square	F value	
Line	165	2,317	2.8 <sup>a</sup>	
Environment	2	266,735	326.9 <sup>a</sup>	
Replicate	2	6,026	49.4 <sup>a</sup>	
Line $\times$ environment	330	816	6.7 <sup>a</sup>	
Error	992	122		

<sup>a</sup> P = 0.01

were polymorphic. The latter were used to genotype individual RILs from the population for construction of genetic linkage maps. Additionally, 283 polymorphic DArT markers covering all chromosomes and six molecular markers specific for 1B.1R translocation were used for mapping the population. Among the 568 polymorphic marker loci, 373 loci were assigned into 26 linkage groups.

# QTL for APR to YR

Using CIM analysis, 10 QTL for APR to YR were identified on chromosomes 1AL, 1BL.1RS, 1DS, 2BL, 2DL, 5AL, 5BL, 6BS and 7BL (two QTL) based on the mean MDS in each environment and the averaged values from all three environments (Fig. 1; Table 2). The resistance alleles of the QTL on 1AL, 1DS, 2BL, 2DL and 6BS were contributed by Naxos, whereas those on 1BL.1RS, 5AL, 5BL, and 7BL (two QTL) were from SHA3/CBRD.

Using the six rye specific molecular markers, a major QTL for YR resistance was located on chromosome 1BL.1RS, designated *QYr.caas-1BL.1RS*, most closely associated with *Xiag95*. This QTL explained 27.6, 1.9, 5.3 and 7.9 % of the phenotypic variance in Sichuan 2010, Sichuan 2011, Gansu 2010 and averaged MDS, respectively.

The second consistently detected QTL with larger effect, *QYr.caas-5BL.3*, was located on chromosome 5BL between *XwPt-2707* and *Xbarc275*, and explained 2.5 to 7.8 % of the phenotypic variance in three environments and averaged MDS. The third QTL, *QYr.caas-7BL.1*, was flanked by *XwPt-8106* and *Xbarc176*, and explained 8.2, 3.7, 6.3 and 9.1 % of the phenotypic variance in Sichuan 2010, Sichuan 2011, Gansu 2010, and averaged MDS, respectively. The fourth QTL, *QYr.caas-1DS*, was identified in the marker interval *XUgwm353–Xgdm33b* on chromosome 1DS. This QTL was detected in three environments as well as the overall mean, explaining the phenotypic variance of 2.1 to 5.8 %.

Two QTL, *QYr.caas-2DL* and *QYr.caas-5AL.2*, were detected in two environments and averaged MDS, respectively, and explained 2.9–10.2 and 3.2–3.7 % of the phenotypic variance. *QYr.caas-2DL*, flanked by *XwPt-6752* and *Xcfd47*, was located on chromosome 2DL whereas

*QYr.caas-5AL.2* was located in marker interval *XwPt-1903-5AL* and *Xwmc727-5AL*. One QTL, *QYr.caas-6BS.2*, in the interval *Xwmc104–XwPt-0259* on chromosome 6BS, explained 2.9–5.7 % of the phenotypic variance across two environments.

The remaining three QTL were detected in single environment. *QYr.caas-1AL* and *QYr.caas-2BL* were in marker intervals *XwPt-2406–Xwmc59* and *XwPt-8460– XwPt-3755*, and explained 8.2 and 12.2 %, respectively, of the phenotypic variance in Gansu 2010. *QYr.caas-7BL.2* was located between *Xgwm577* and *XwPt-4300* on chromosome 7BL, explaining 14.7 % of the phenotypic variance in Sichuan 2011.

The total phenotypic variance explained by detected QTL ranged from 36.9 to 55.9 % in a simultaneous fit across the three environments, suggesting a significant effect of these QTL in reducing YR severity.

Interactions among different QTL could not be stably identified across the three environments using IciMapping V3.1. All these ten QTL associated with YR resistance showed additive effects (Table 2). To obtain the combined effects of these QTL, the flanking markers for these QTL regions were used to select RILs possessing the corresponding QTL, for example, RILs possessing the 1AL resistance allele were selected to present the effect of the 1AL QTL using the closely linked marker XwPt-2406. The results shown in Fig. 2 indicated that the more resistance genes a subset of RILs possess, the lower the disease severity. In addition, when four to five genes were combined in a RIL, the disease severity was less than 35 % on average (Fig. 2; Supplementary Table 1). This is consistent with a report by Singh et al. (2000a), who demonstrated that four to five APR genes, each with small to intermediate effects, may provide a high level of resistance to YR when combined in a cultivar.

## Discussion

Although the German cultivar Naxos was susceptible to currently prevalent *Pst* races CYR32 and CYR33 at the seedling stage, it showed a high level of resistance when inoculated with these races in the field. Five QTL for YR resistance were detected in Naxos. Although SHA3/CBRD was moderately susceptible to YR, it actually carried five QTL for APR.

Comparison with previous reports

QYr.caas-1AL

*QYr.caas-1AL*, with a resistance allele contributed by Naxos, was not stable across environments, but could be related to known resistance QTL against YR. Ramburan



Fig. 1 LOD contours for QTL on chromosomes 1AL, 1BL.1RS, 1DS, 2BL, 2DL, 5AL, 5BL, 6BS, 7BL (two QTL) that reduce stripe rust severity in the RIL population from SHA3/CBRD  $\times$  Naxos. The short arms are toward the *top* and the approximate positions of centromeres are indicated by *solid squares* in the *vertical axis*. Genetic distances are shown in centiMorgans (cM) to the *left* of the

et al. (2004) identified an APR QTL on chromosome 1A, designated *QYr.sgi-1A*, which was also inconsistently detected across environments. Furthermore, Dedryver et al. (2009) identified a QTL on 1A in cultivar Renan, only at one scoring. Because of different kinds of flanking markers used in these studies, the relationships among these QTL are uncertain.

*vertical axis.* Markers closely linked to each QTL are *underlined.* The approximate positions of QTL are indicated by *oblong black squares* to the *left* of markers and their heights represent the interval distances of flanking markers. LOD thresholds of 2.5 are indicated by *dashed vertical lines* in the graphs

# QYr.caas-1DS

This is the first report of QTL for YR resistance on chromosome 1D. Considering that Naxos was highly susceptible to currently prevalent *Pst* races CYR32 and CYR33 at seedling stages, *QYr.caas-1DS* contributed by Naxos is likely a new QTL for APR to YR.

QTL <sup>a</sup>	Sichuan 2010			Sichuan 2011		Gansu 2010		Average			Source of resistance		
	LOD <sup>b</sup>	Add <sup>c</sup>	$R^2 (\%)^{d}$	LOD	Add	$R^{2}(\%)$	LOD	Add	$R^{2}(\%)$	LOD	Add	$R^{2}$ (%)	
QYr.caas-1AL							3.8	-5.1	8.2				Naxos
QYr.caas-1BL.1RS	16.3	9.5	27.6	1.3	4.1	1.9	3.0	4.2	5.3	5.3	4.8	7.9	SHA3/CBRD
QYr.caas-1DS	3.9	-4.1	5.8	1.6	-4.3	2.4	1.3	-2.6	2.1	3.1	-3.5	4.5	Naxos
QYr.caas-2BL							4.9	-6.0	12.2				Naxos
QYr.caas-2DL				2.1	-5.0	3.1	5.6	-5.7	10.2	2.1	-2.9	2.9	Naxos
QYr.caas-5AL.2				2.3	5.4	3.7	2.1	3.3	3.4	2.4	3.0	3.2	SHA3/CBRD
QYr.caas-5BL.3	5.2	4.8	7.8	2.9	6.2	4.5	1.6	2.8	2.5	3.2	3.5	4.7	SHA3/CBRD
QYr.caas-6BS.2				1.5	-4.8	2.9	2.9	-4.2	5.7				Naxos
QYr.caas-7BL.1	2.6	5.7	8.2	1.7	5.7	3.7	2.3	4.8	6.3	3.9	5.2	9.1	SHA3/CBRD
QYr.caas-7BL.2				6.4	11.9	14.7				2.9	4.5	6.3	SHA3/CBRD

Table 2Quantitative trait loci for stripe rust resistance detected by CIM in the SHA3/CBRD  $\times$  Naxos RIL population across three environments and averaged MDS over three environments

<sup>a</sup> QTL were detected with a minimum LOD score of 2.5 in at least one environment

<sup>b</sup> Logarithm of odds (LOD) score

<sup>c</sup> Additive effect of resistance allele

<sup>d</sup> Percentages of phenotypic variance explained by individual QTL



Fig. 2 The effect of different QTL combinations on stripe rust severity in different classes. The *number* in *abscissa* indicates the number of QTL combined in each subset of RILs, for example, one means the class of RILs possessing one QTL. The *numbers* in *parentheses* indicate the number of RILs in each class. The *error bars* indicate the standard error of sample means. A detailed list of the RILs in each class is shown in Supplementary Table 1

## QYr.caas-1BL.1RS

The short arm of rye chromosome 1 (1RS) carries a variety of disease resistance genes, viz., *Yr9*, *Pm8*, *Lr26* and *Sr31* from 'Petkus' and *SrR* from 'Imperial' rye (Mago et al. 2002). Based on GISH analysis, SHA3/CBRD carries a translocated chromosome in which the entire 1RS has replaced the entire 1BS (Supplementary Fig. 2). Theoretically, there should not be recombination between 1BS and 1RS. However, we still found a cluster of markers with low recombination frequency on 1RS (Fig. 1). This is most likely due to inaccurate genotypic data as most of these markers are dominant markers. Although *Xcfd21* and *Xgdm33a* were mapped on the short arm of wheat

homoeologous group 1 in several consensus maps (Somers et al. 2004; http://www.wheat.pw.usda.gov), both of them gave specific amplification in SHA3/CBRD while no corresponding PCR products were detected in Naxos. The two SSR markers are therefore specific to 1B.1R translocation in the present population. QYr.caas-1BL.1RS was close to centromere on chromosome 1BL.1RS, most closely associated with Xiag95-1RS. This QTL was possibly located on chromosome 1RS in a 1BL.1RS translocation line SHA3/ CBRD. The stripe rust resistance gene Yr9 was also located on 1RS, which in turn was located  $26.1 \pm 4.3$  cM from the centromere (Singh et al. 1990). However, this gene has succumbed to the Pst races used in the present study both at seedling and adult-plant stages (Yang and Ren 2001; Ren et al. 2009). Therefore, QYr.caas-1BL.1RS is likely different from Yr9. Recently, Luo et al. (2008) reported two genes (YrCN17 and YrR212 in cultivars CN17 and R212) for stripe rust resistance located on 1RS. Both genes are resistant to Pst races CYR31 and CYR32. Ren et al. (2009) also identified the gene (YrCn17) in the line R14, and concluded that this gene is likely to be an allele of Yr9 based on allelism tests. But, these are major resistance genes rather than a QTL.

#### QYr.caas-2BL

Several QTL for YR resistance were reported on wheat chromosome 2BL, including *QYR1*, *QYr.inra-2BL*, *QTL 2BL*, *QYraq.cau-2BL* and *QYr.csiro-2BL* (Boukhatem et al. 2002; Mallard et al. 2005; Christiansen et al. 2006; Guo et al. 2008; Rosewarne et al. 2008). *QTL 2BL* was located between *Xwmc149* and *Xwmc317a* with a map distance of

about 15 cM from QYr.caas-2BL based on the consensus map (Somers et al. 2004), indicating that this QTL was unlikely to be the same locus as QYr.caas-2BL. QYr.csiro-2BL flanked by Xgwm1027-Xgwm619 is also different from OYr.caas-2BL because it is more than 20 cM from QYr.caas-2BL (Somers et al. 2004). In contrast, QYR1, QYr.inra-2BL and QYraq.cau-2BL were located in the marker interval Xgwm47-Xgwm501, Xbarc101-Xgwm120 and Xwmc175-Xwmc332, respectively, which are very closely linked to QYr.caas-2BL (Somers et al. 2004). QYR1 and QYr.inra-2BL were derived from cultivar Camp Remy. They accounted for 46.0 and 61 % of the phenotypic variance, respectively. Another one, QYraq.cau-2BL, was contributed by cultivar Aquileja and explained up to 61.5 % of the phenotypic variance. However, OYr.caas-2BL detected in the present study explained 12.2 % of the phenotypic variance and the resistance gene came from Naxos. Pedigree analysis did not show any common ancestors between Naxos and the two cultivars. It is possible that QYr.caas-2BL is different from these QTL. However, this needs to be confirmed by allelism test in the future.

# QYr.caas-2DL

The APR gene Yr16 was located on the centromeric region of chromosome 2D (Worland and Law 1986; Mallard et al. 2005), with a map distance of about 23 cM from QYr.caas-2DL (Hart et al. 1993; Somers et al. 2004). This gene is therefore different from OYr.caas-2DL. Recently, Jagger et al. (2011) identified a QTL flanked by SSR markers Xgwm320 and Xgwm301 on 2DL in the German cultivar Alcedo. It was approximately 18 cM from QYr.caas-2DL (Somers et al. 2004), suggesting that this locus is also likely different from QYr.caas-2DL. Suenaga et al. (2003) reported a QTL on 2DL in the cultivar Fukuho-Komugi, closely linked to the marker Xgwm349, which corresponds to the interval for QYr.caas-2DL (Somers et al. 2004). It explained 10.1-11.4 % of the phenotypic variance in YR severity across environments, which is similar to QYr.caas-2DL in the present study. Melichar et al. (2008) also identified a QTL at the same chromosomal region in the UK wheat cultivar Guardian, which explained 8.0-11.0 % of the phenotypic variance. Thus, these two QTL and QYr.caas-2DL might be same or closely linked.

# QYr.caas-5AL.2

Boukhatem et al. (2002) mapped a QTL, flanked by RFLP markers *Xfbb209* and *Xabg391*, and linked to the SSR marker *Xgwm126*. This QTL should be different from *QYr.caas-5AL.2* based on a map distance of about 25 cM between them (Somers et al. 2004). Chhuneja et al. (2008)

identified a OTL on 5AL between Xbarc151 and Xcfd12. with a map distance of 58 cM from QYr.caas-5AL.2 (Somers et al. 2004). In addition, it was derived from the diploid A genome wheat species T. boeoticum (acc. Pau5088), indicating that this OTL was also different from QYr.caas-5AL.2. Lan et al. (2010) located a QTL on 5AL in the Chinese landrace wheat Pingyuan 50, flanked by Xwmc410 and Xbarc261, in the same region as QYr.caas-5AL.2. Because pedigree analyses showed no relationship between SHA3/CBRD and Pingyuan 50, this QTL is also likely different from QYr.caas-5AL.2. Recently, a QTL (Yr48) with large effect, flanked by Xwmc727 and Xgwm291 on 5AL, was validated in PI610750 (Lowe et al. 2011), at a similar position to QYr.caas-5AL.2 (Somers et al. 2004). However, PI610750 (pedigree: Croc1/Aegilops tauschii (synthetic 205)//Kauz) is a synthetic wheat derivative from CIMMYT's Wide Cross Program, and it has no common ancestor with SHA3/CBRD, suggesting that Yr48 may be different from QYr.caas-5AL.2. Therefore, QYr.caas-5AL.2 is possibly a new QTL for APR to YR.

#### QYr.caas-5BL.3

Mallard et al. (2005) reported a QTL for YR resistance, flanked by Xgwm234 and XDuPw115a on 5BL, in the same region as QYr.caas-5BL.3. It might be in a translocated region from chromosome 5BS of cultivar Cappelle Desprez (Mallard et al. 2005; Lu et al. 2009). Lu et al. (2009) reported two APR QTL to YR on 5BL in cultivar Libellula. One of two QTL, QYr.caas-5BL.2, flanked by Xbarc142 and Xgwm604, was located to a similar position as QYr. caas-5BL.3 (Somers et al. 2004). It explained 2.6 % of the phenotypic variance in one environment, which showed lower effect and stability than QYr.caas-5BL.3.

## QYr.caas-6BS.2

A number of genes for YR resistance have been reported on chromosome 6BS. Among them, QYr.jirc-6B (Suenaga et al. 2003), Yr36 (Uauy et al. 2005), QYrst.wgp-6BS.1 (Santra et al. 2008) and QYr.caas-6BS (Lan et al. 2010) were close to the centromere on 6BS, more than 20 cM away from QYr.caas-6BS.2. These QTL were therefore different from QYr.caas-6BS.2. All-stage resistance gene Yr35 (Dadkhodaie et al. 2010) on chromosome 6BS is linked to SSR marker Xgwm508, with a map distance of about 18 cM from QYr.caas-6BS.2 (Somers et al. 2004). Dedryver et al. (2009) reported QYr.inra-6B flanked by SSR markers Xgwm518 and Xgwm608 with a map distance of about 13 cM from QYr.caas-6BS.2 (Somers et al. 2004). Santra et al. (2008) detected a QTL on bin 6BS-satellite in cultivar Stephens, flanked by SSR markers Xgwm132 and Xgdm113 that coincided with QYr.caas-6BS.2 (Somers

et al. 2004). This QTL explained a large proportion of the phenotypic variance ( $R^2 = 25-43$  %) across environments, whereas *QYr.caas-6BS.2* only explained 2.9–5.7 % across environments.

#### QYr.caas-7BL.1 and QYr.caas-7BL.2

Lin and Chen (2007) identified *Yr39* from cultivar Alpowa on chromosome 7BL, flanked by *Xgwm43* and *Xgwm131*. In the present study, the QTL *QYr.caas-7BL.1* was located between *XwPt-8106* and *Xbarc176*. The map distance between that QTL and *QYr.caas-7BL.1* is approximately 6 cM (Somers et al. 2004). Thus, these two genes might be either at the same position or closely linked with each other. According to significant differences in map distance between *QYr.caas-7BL.1* and the other QTL on 7BL (Imtiaz et al. 2004; Somers et al. 2004; Rosewarne et al. 2008), *QYr.caas-7BL.1* described here might be different.

We detected a second QTL, *QYr.caas-7BL.2*, which was in the marker interval *Xgwm577–XwPt-4300*, at the end of chromosome 7BL. As shown in Fig. 1, this QTL was approximately 63.5 cM from *QYr.caas-7BL.1*. Rosewarne et al. (2008) also identified a QTL in the telomere region of chromosome 7BL, flanked by *XP32/M59* and *Xgwm344*, but it was approximately 20 cM away from *QYr.caas-7BL.2* (Somers et al. 2004). Nevertheless, Imtiaz et al. (2004) identified *QYr.nsw-7B* closely linked to *Xgwm611*, with a map distance of only 1 cM from *QYr.caas-7BL.2* (Somers et al. 2004). It was contributed by the susceptible variety "Tiritea" and accounted for 42.0 and 37.0 % of variation in adult plant resistance under greenhouse and field conditions. Consequently, *QYr.caas-7BL.2* may be the same as *QYr.nsw-7B*, or they are closely linked genes.

#### Pleiotropic effects of detected genes

Previous studies indicated that APR genes involved in resistance to rusts are clustered on wheat chromosomes or showed pleiotropic effects, such as, Yr18/Lr34 (Suenaga et al. 2003; Spielmeyer et al. 2007), Yr29/Lr46 (Rosewarne et al. 2006), Yr30/Sr2 (Singh et al. 2000b), and Yr46/Lr67 (Herrera-Foessel et al. 2011). Many studies confirmed that the same principle also applies to rusts and PM. For example, Lillemo et al. (2008) conducted QTL mapping for resistance to PM, LR and YR in a population from the cross between Saar and Avocet S, and found that QTL for PM resistance coincided with Yr18/Lr34 and Yr29/Lr46, and designated as Pm38 and Pm39, respectively. He et al. (2011) integrated all APR QTL for YR and PM resistance into a linkage map based on DNA marker information, and found eight gene clusters ( $\geq 5$  QTL) conferring resistance to both YR and PM simultaneously. In addition, the durable stem rust resistance gene Sr2 was also associated with LR (*Lr27*) and PM resistance (Lagudah 2011; Mago et al. 2011).

The APR QTL to PM in the same population of SHA3/ CBRD × Naxos was characterized by Lu et al. (2012). Compared with the study, *QYr.caas-1BL.1RS* contributed by SHA3/CBRD was in the same region as a PM resistance QTL from the same parent, and *QYr.caas-2BL* corresponded well with a PM resistance QTL which was from Naxos, indicating pleiotropic effects of the resistance loci. Besides, there are coincident QTL for YR and PM resistance close to the centromere on 2DL, but the resistance is contributed by opposite parents. *QYr.caas-1BL.1RS* and *QYr.caas-2BL* may play an important role for resistance breeding to both YR and PM.

The same population was also used for QTL mapping of Fusarium head blight (FHB) resistance (Lu et al., manuscript to be submitted). Comparison with this study shows that both QYr.caas-1DS and QYr.caas-2BL are co-located with FHB resistance QTL with the alleles for resistance contributed by Naxos. QYr.caas-1DS is therefore a valuable resistance resource for breeding to both YR and FHB while QYr.caas-2BL could be used to simultaneously improve resistance levels to YR, PM and FHB. Based on the same study, QYr.caas-5AL with YR resistance contributed by SHA3/CBRD coincided with a FHB resistance QTL from the same parent, while QYr.caas-2DL and QYr.caas-5BL.3 located to similar positions as FHB resistance QTL, but with resistance contributed by the opposite parents. Further studies are necessary to elucidate these effects, which can more likely be explained by close linkage of resistance genes than pleiotropy as both these FHB resistance QTL were associated with anther extrusion, which does not play any role as a resistance mechanism against YR.

## Breeding and application

In this study, we detected four QTL conferring stable resistance to YR across environments, including *QYr.caas-IBL.1RS*, *QYr.caas-1DS*, *QYr.caas-5BL.3* and *QYr.caas-7BL.1*, and they were closely linked to the SSR markers *Xbarc8*, *XUgwm353*, *Xbarc275* and *Xbarc176*, respectively. As the interval of flanking markers for each QTL was less than 6.5 cM, these closely linked SSR markers could be used for improving resistance to YR resistance in wheat breeding.

As the research on race non-specific genes becomes more and more extensively, especially following the cloning of APR genes Yr18 and Yr36 (Krattinger et al. 2009; Fu et al. 2009), the mechanisms of APR are becoming better understood. The durability of any gene or gene combination continues to elude prediction and remains a matter of "time will tell" (Lowe et al. 2011). Nevertheless, the QTL reported in the present study, particularly *QYr.caas-1DS* was a new QTL for APR to YR, which should enable diversification of the genetic basis of partial and durable resistance to YR. Their closely linked molecular markers can be used in marker-assisted selection and pyramiding of APR genes to YR in wheat breeding.

**Acknowledgments** We are grateful to the critical review of this manuscript by Prof. R. A. McIntosh, Plant Breeding Institute, University of Sydney, Australia. We also thank Dr. Fangpu Han for his help in making Genomic in situ hybridization. This study was supported by the National Science Foundation of China (30821140351) and China Agriculture Research System (CARS-3-1-3).

# References

- Allard RW (1960) Principles of Plant Breeding. John Wiley and Sons, New York
- Bariana HS, Hayden MJ, Ahmed NU, Bell JA, Sharp PJ, McIntosh RA (2001) Mapping of durable adult plant and seedling resistances to stripe rust and stem rust diseases in wheat. Aust J Agric Res 52:1247–1255
- Bhavani S, Singh RP, Argillier O, Huerta-Espino J, Singh S, Njau P, Brun S, Lacam S, Desmouceaux N (2011) Mapping durable adult plant stem rust resistance to the race Ug99 group in six CIMMYT wheats. 2011 BGRI Technical Workshop, June 13–16, University of Minnesota and USDA Cereal Disease Lab, St. Paul, Minnesota. http://www.globalrust.org/db/attachments/knowledge/122/ 2/Bhavani-revised.pdf
- Bossolini E, Krattinger SG, Keller B (2006) Development of simple sequence repeat markers specific for the *Lr34* resistance region of wheat using sequence information from rice and *Aegilops tauschii*. Theor Appl Genet 113:1049–1062
- Boukhatem N, Baret PV, Mingeot D, Jacquemin JM (2002) Quantitative trait loci for resistance against yellow rust in two wheat derived recombinant inbred line populations. Theor Appl Genet 104:111–118
- Boyd LA (2005) Can Robigus defeat an old enemy?—Yellow rust of wheat. J Agric Sci 143:233–243
- Carter AH, Chen XM, Garland-Campbell K, Kidwell KK (2009) Identifying QTL for high-temperature adult-plant resistance to stripe rust (*Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici*) in the spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivar 'Louise'. Theor Appl Genet 119:1119–1128
- Chen XM (2005) Epidemiology and control of stripe rust (*Puccinia* striiformis f. sp. tritici) on wheat. Can J Plant Pathol 27:314–337
- Chen XM, Line RF (1995) Gene action in wheat cultivars for durable, high-temperature, adult-plant resistance and interaction with race-specific, seedling resistance to *Puccinia striiformis*. Phytopathology 85:567–572
- Chen WQ, Wu LR, Liu TG, Xu SC, Jin SL, Peng YL, Wang BT (2009) Race dynamics, diversity, and virulence evolution in *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici*, the causal agent of wheat stripe rust in China from 2003 to 2007. Plant Dis 93:1093–1101
- Chen JL, Chu CG, Souza EJ, Guttieri MJ, Chen XM, Xu S, Hole D, Zemetra R (2012) Genome-wide identification of QTL conferring high-temperature adult-plant (HTAP) resistance to stripe rust (*Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici*) in wheat. Mol Breeding 29:791–800
- Chhuneja P, Kaur S, Garg T, Ghai M, Kaur S, Prashar M, Bains NS, Goel RK, Keller B, Dhaliwal HS, Singh K (2008) Mapping of adult plant stripe rust resistance genes in diploid A genome

wheat species and their transfer to bread wheat. Theor Appl Genet 116:313–324

- Christiansen MJ, Feenstra B, Skovgaard IM, Andersen SB (2006) Genetic analysis of resistance to yellow rust in hexaploid wheat using a mixture model for multiple crosses. Theor Appl Genet 112:581–591
- Dadkhodaie NA, Karaoglou H, Wellings CR, Park RF (2010) Mapping genes Lr53 and Yr35 on the short arm of chromosome 6B of common wheat with microsatellite markers and studies of their association with Lr36. Theor Appl Genet 122:479–487
- Dedryver F, Paillard S, Mallard S, Robert O, Trottet M, Nègre S, Verplancke G, Jahier J (2009) Characterization of genetic components involved in durable resistance to stripe rust in the bread wheat 'Renan'. Phytopathology 99:968–973
- Francis HA, Leitch AR, Koebner RMD (1995) Conversion of a RAPD-generated PCR product, containing a novel dispersed repetitive element, into a fast and robust assay for the presence of rye chromatin in wheat. Theor Appl Genet 90:636–642
- Froidmont DD (1998) A co-dominant marker for the 1BL/1RS wheatrye translocation via multiplex PCR. J Cereal Sci 27:229–232
- Fu DL, Uauy C, Distelfeld A, Blechl A, Epstein L, Chen XM, Sela H, Fahima T, Dubcovsky J (2009) A kinase-start gene confers temperature-dependent resistance to wheat stripe rust. Science 323:1357–1360
- Guo Q, Zhang ZJ, Xu YB, Li GH, Feng J, Zhou Y (2008) Quantitative trait loci for high-temperature adult-plant and slow-rusting resistance to *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici* in wheat cultivars. Phytopathology 98:803–809
- Gupta PK, Balyan HS, Edwards KJ, Isaac P, Korzun V, Röder M, Gautier M-F, Joudrier P, Schlatter AR, Dubcovsky J, De la Pena RC, Khairallah M, Penner G, Hayden MJ, Sharp P, Keller B, Wang RCC, Hardouin JP, Jack P, Leroy P (2002) Genetic mapping of 66 new microsatellite (SSR) loci in bread wheat. Theor Appl Genet 105:413–422
- Hao YF, Chen ZB, Wang YY, Bland D, Buck J, Brown-Guedira G, Johnson J (2011) Characterization of a major QTL for adult plant resistance to stripe rust in US soft red winter wheat. Theor Appl Genet 123:1401–1411
- Hart GE, Gale MD, McIntosh RA (1993) Linkage maps of *Triticum* aestivum (hexaploid wheat, 2n = 42, genomes A, B, and D) and *T. tauschii* (2n = 14, genome D). In: O'Brien SJ (ed) Genetic Maps: locus maps of complex genomes. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Ney York, pp 6204–6219
- He ZH, Lan CX, Chen XM, Zou YC, Zhuang QS, Xia XC (2011) Progress and perspective in research of adult-plant resistance to stripe rust and powdery mildew in wheat. Scientia Agricultura Sinica 44:2193–2215
- Herrera-Foessel SA, Lagudah ES, Huerta-Espino J, Hayden MJ, Bariana HS, Singh D, Singh RP (2011) New slow-rusting leaf rust and stripe rust resistance genes *Lr67* and *Yr46* in wheat are pleiotropic or closely linked. Theor Appl Genet 122:239–249
- Imtiaz K, Ahmad M, Cromey MG, Griffin WB, Hampton JG (2004) Detection of molecular markers linked to the durable adult plant stripe rust resistance gene *Yr18* in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Plant Breeding 123:401–404
- Jagger LJ, Newell C, Berry ST, MacCormack R, Boyd LA (2011) The genetic characterisation of stripe rust resistance in the German wheat cultivar Alcedo. Theor Appl Genet 122:723–733
- Johnson R (1984) A critical analysis of durable resistance. Annu Rev Phytopathol 22:309–330
- Johnson R (1988) Durable resistance to yellow (stripe) rust in wheat and its implications in plant breeding. In: Simmonds NW, Rajaram S (eds) Breeding strategies for resistance to the rusts of wheat. CIMMYT, Mexico, pp 63–75
- Kosambi DD (1944) The estimation of map distance from recombination values. Annu Eugen 12:172–175

- Krattinger SG, Lagudah ES, Spielmeyer W, Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J, McFadden H, Bossolini E, Selter LL, Keller B (2009) A putative ABC transporter confers durable resistance to multiple fungal pathogens in wheat. Science 323:1360–1363
- Lagudah ES (2011) Molecular genetics of race non-specific rust resistance in wheat. Euphytica 179:81–91
- Lan CX, Liang SS, Zhou XC, Zhou G, Lu QL, Xia XC, He ZH (2010) Identification of genomic regions controlling adult-plant stripe rust resistance in Chinese landrace Pingyuan 50 through bulked segregant analysis. Phytopathology 100:313–318
- Li HH, Ye GY, Wang JK (2007) A modified algorithm for the improvement of composite interval mapping. Genetics 175: 361–374
- Li HH, Ribaut JM, Li ZL, Wang JK (2008) Inclusive composite interval mapping (ICIM) for digenic epistasis of quantitative traits in biparental populations. Theor Appl Genet 116:243–260
- Lillemo M, Asalf B, Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J, Chen XM, He ZH, Bjørnstad Å (2008) The adult plant rust resistance loci *Lr34/Yr18* and *Lr46/Yr29* are important determinants of partial resistance to powdery mildew in bread wheat line Saar. Theor Appl Genet 116:1155–1166
- Lin F, Chen XM (2007) Genetics and molecular mapping of genes for race-specific all-stage resistance and non-race-specific hightemperature adult-plant resistance to stripe rust in spring wheat cultivar Alpowa. Theor Appl Genet 114:1277–1287
- Lin F, Chen XM (2009) Quantitative trait loci for non-race-specific, high-temperature adult-plant resistance to stripe rust in wheat cultivar Express. Theor Appl Genet 118:631–642
- Line RF (2002) Stripe rust of wheat and barley in North America: a retrospective historical review. Annu Rev Phytopathol 40: 75–118
- Lowe I, Jankuloski L, Chao S, Chen XM, See D, Dubcovsky J (2011) Mapping and validation of QTL which confer partial resistance to broadly virulent post-2000 North American races of stripe rust in hexaploid wheat. Theor Appl Genet 123:143–157
- Lu YM, Lan CX, Liang SS, Zhou XC, Liu D, Zhou G, Lu QL, Jing JX, Wang MN, Xia XC, He ZH (2009) QTL mapping for adultplant resistance to stripe rust in Italian common wheat cultivars Libellula and Strampelli. Theor Appl Genet 119:1349–1359
- Lu QX, Bjørnstad Å, Ren Y, Asad MA, Xia XC, Chen XM, Ji F, Shi JR, Lillemo M (2012) Partial resistance to powdery mildew in German spring wheat 'Naxos' is based on multiple genes with stable effects in diverse environments. Theor Appl Genet 125:297–309
- Luo PG, Zhang HY, Shu K, Zhang HQ, Luo HY, Ren ZL (2008) Stripe rust (*Puccinia striformis* f. sp. tritici) resistance in wheat with the wheat-rye 1BL/1RS chromosomal translocation. Can J Plant Pathol 30:254–259
- Mago R, Spielmeyer W, Lawrence GJ, Lagudah ES, Ellis JG, Pryor A (2002) Identification and mapping of molecular markers linked to rust resistance genes located on chromosome 1RS of rye using wheat-rye translocation lines. Theor Appl Genet 104:1317–1324
- Mago R, Tabe L, McIntosh RA, Pretorius Z, Kota R, Paux E, Wicker T, Breen J, Lagudah ES, Ellis JG, Spielmeyer W (2011) A multiple resistance locus on chromosome arm 3BS in wheat confers resistance to stem rust (*Sr2*), leaf rust (*Lr27*) and powdery mildew. Theor Appl Genet 123:615–623
- Mallard S, Gaudet D, Aldeia A, Abelard C, Besnard AL, Sourdille P, Dedryver F (2005) Genetic analysis of durable resistance to yellow rust in bread wheat. Theor Appl Genet 110:1401–1409
- Manly KF, Cudmore RH Jr, Meer JM (2001) Map manager QTX, cross-platform software for genetic mapping. Genome 12: 930–932
- McDonald BA, Linde C (2002) The population genetics of plant pathogens and breeding strategies for durable resistance. Euphytica 124:163–180

- McIntosh RA, Dubcovsky J, Rogers WJ, Morris C, Appels R, Xia XC (2011) Catalogue of gene symbols for wheat: 2011 supplement. http://www.shigen.nig.ac.jp/wheat/komugi/genes/macgene/ supplement2011.pdf
- Melichar JPE, Berry S, Newell C, MacCormack R, Boyd LA (2008) QTL identification and microphenotype characterization of the developmentally regulated yellow rust resistance in the UK wheat cultivar Guardian. Theor Appl Genet 117:391–399
- Nagy ED, Eder C, Molnar-Lang M, Lelley T (2003) Genetic mapping of sequence-specific PCR-based markers on the short arm of the 1BL.1RS wheat-rye translocation. Euphytica 132:243–250
- National Agro-technical Extension and Service Center (NAESC) (2010) Epidemic characteristics of wheat stripe rust in China in 2010 and its control strategies. China Plant Prot 30:32–35
- National Agro-technical Extension and Service Center (NAESC) (2011) Epidemic characteristics of wheat stripe rust in China in 2011 and its control strategies. China Plant Prot 31:28–32
- Parida SK, Anand Raj Kumar K, Dalal V, Singh NK, Mohapatra T (2006) Unigene derived microsatellite markers for the cereal genomes. Theor Appl Genet 112:808–817
- Pestsova E, Ganal MW, Röder MS (2000) Isolation and mapping of microsatellite markers specific for the D genome of bread wheat. Genome 43:689–697
- Ramburan VP, Pretorius ZA, Louw JH, Boyd LA, Smith PH, Boshoff WHP, Prins R (2004) A genetic analysis of adult plant resistance to stripe rust in the wheat cultivar Kariega. Theor Appl Genet 108:1426–1433
- Ren TH, Yang ZJ, Yan BJ, Zhang HQ, Fu SL, Ren ZL (2009) Development and characterization of a new 1BL.1RS translocation line with resistance to stripe rust and powdery mildew of wheat. Euphytica 169:207–213
- Röder MS, Korzun V, Wendehake K, Plaschke J, Tixier MH, Leroy P, Ganal MW (1998) A microsatellite map of wheat. Genetics 149:2007–2023
- Rosewarne GM, Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J, William HM, Bouchet S, Cloutier S, McFadden H, Lagudah ES (2006) Leaf tip necrosis, molecular markers and  $\beta$ 1-proteasome subunits associated with the slow rusting resistance genes *Lr46/Yr29*. Theor Appl Genet 112:500–508
- Rosewarne GM, Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J, Rebetzke GJ (2008) Quantitative trait loci for slow-rusting resistance in wheat to leaf rust and stripe rust identified with multi-environment analysis. Theor Appl Genet 116:1027–1034
- Saal B, Wricke G (1999) Development of simple sequence repeat markers in rye (*Secale cereale* L.). Genome 42:964–972
- Santra DK, Chen XM, Santra M, Campbell KG, Kidwell KK (2008) Identification and mapping QTL for high-temperature adult-plant resistance to stripe rust in winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivar 'Stephens'. Theor Appl Genet 117:793–802
- Singh NK, Shepherd KW, McIntosh RA (1990) Linkage mapping of genes for resistance to leaf, stem and stripe rusts and  $\omega$ -secalins on the short arm of rye chromosome 1R. Theor Appl Genet 80:609–616
- Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J, Rajaram S (2000a) Achieving nearimmunity to leaf and stripe rusts in wheat by combining slow rusting resistance genes. Acta Phytopathol Hun 35:133–139
- Singh RP, Nelson JC, Sorrells ME (2000b) Mapping Yr28 and other genes for resistance to stripe rust in wheat. Crop Sci 40:1148– 1155
- Somers DJ, Isaac P, Edwards K (2004) A high-density microsatellite consensus map for bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Theor Appl Genet 109:1105–1114
- Song QJ, Fickus EW, Cregan PB (2002) Characterization of trinucleotide SSR motifs in wheat. Theor Appl Genet 104:286–293
- Sourdille P, Singh S, Cadalen T, Brown-Guedira GL, Gay G, Qi L, Gill BS, Dufour P, Murigneux A, Bernard M (2004)

Microsatellite-based deletion bin system for the establishment of genetic-physical map relationships in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Funct Integr Genomics 4:12–25

- Spielmeyer W, Singh RP, McFadden H, Wellings CR, Huerta-Espino J, Kong X, Appels R, Lagudah ES (2007) Fine scale genetic and physical mapping using interstitial deletion mutants of *Lr34*/ *Yr18*: a disease resistance locus effective against multiple pathogens in wheat. Theor Appl Genet 116:481–490
- Stubbs RW (1985) Stripe rust. In: Roelfs AP, Bushnell WR (eds) The cereal rusts, vol II., Diseases, distribution, epidemiology, and controlAcademic Press, Orlando, pp 61–101
- Suenaga K, Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J, William HM (2003) Microsatellite markers for genes Lr34/Yr18 and other quantitative trait loci for leaf rust and stripe rust resistance in bread wheat. Phytopathology 93:881–890
- Tang JY (2004) Epidemic characteristics of wheat stripe rust in China in 2004 and its control strategies. China Rural Sci 4:28–29
- Tang HJ, Yin GH, Xia XC, Feng JJ, Qu YY, He ZH (2009) Evaluation of molecnlar markers specific for 1BL.1RS translocation and characterization of 1RS chromosome in wheat varieties from different origins. Acta Agronomica Sinica 35:2107–2115
- Uauy C, Brevis JC, Chen XM, Khan I, Jackson L, Chicaiza O, Distelfeld A, Fahima T, Dubcovsky J (2005) High-temperature adult-plant (HTAP) stripe rust resistance gene Yr36 from Triticum turgidum ssp. dicoccoides is closely linked to the grain protein content locus Gpc-B1. Theor Appl Genet 112:97–105
- Wan AM, Zhao ZH, Chen XM, He ZH, Jin SL, Jia QZ, Yao G, Yang JX, Wang BT, Li GB, Bi YQ, Yuan ZY (2004) Wheat stripe rust epidemic and virulence of *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici* in China in 2002. Plant Dis 88:896–904
- Wang JK (2009) Inclusive composite interval mapping of quantitative trait genes. Acta Agronomica Sinica 35:239–245
- Wang S, Basten CJ, Zeng ZB (2005) Windows QTL cartographer v2.5 statistical genetics. North Carolina State University, Raleigh
- William HM, Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J, Palacios G, Suenaga K (2006) Characterization of genetic loci conferring adult plant resistance to leaf rust and stripe rust in spring wheat. Genome 49:977–990
- Worland AJ, Law CN (1986) Genetic analysis of chromosome 2D of wheat. I. The location of genes affecting height, day-length

insensitivity, hybrid dwarfism and yellow-rust resistance. Z Pflanzenzuecht 96:331–345

- Xue SL, Zhang ZZ, Lin F, Kong ZX, Cao Y, Li CJ, Yi HY, Mei MF, Zhu HL, Wu JZ, Xu HB, Zhao DM, Tian DG, Zhang CQ, Ma ZQ (2008) A high-density intervarietal map of the wheat genome enriched with markers derived from expressed sequence tags. Theor Appl Genet 117:181–189
- Yang ZJ, Ren ZL (2001) Chromosomal distribution and genetic expression of *Lophopyrum elongatum* (Host) A. Löve genes for adult plant resistance to stripe rust in wheat background. Genet Resour Crop Evol 48:183–187
- Yu DZ, Yang XJ, Yang LJ, Jeger MJ, Brown JKM (2001) Assessment of partial resistance to powdery mildew in Chinese wheat varieties. Plant Breeding 120:279–284
- Yu JK, Dake TM, Singh S, Benscher D, Li WL, Gill B, Sorrells ME (2004) Development and mapping of EST-derived simple sequence repeat markers for hexaploid wheat. Genome 47:805–818
- Yu L, He F, Chen GL, Cui F, Qi XL, Wang HG, Li XF (2011) Identification of 1BL.1RS wheat-rye chromosome translocations via 1RS specific molecular markers and genomic in situ hybridization. Acta Agronomica Sinica 37:563–569
- Zhang YJ, Wang JQ, Jang YY, Xia B (2005) Epidemic characteristics of wheat stripe rust in China in 2005 and its control strategies. China Plant Prot 25:28–30
- Zhang YJ, Wang JQ, Jang YY, Feng XD, Xia B (2006) Epidemic characteristics of wheat stripe rust in China in 2006 and its control strategies. China Plant Prot 26:5–8
- Zhang YJ, Wang JQ, Jiang YY, Feng XD, Xia B, Liu Y, Zeng J (2007) Epidemic characteristics of wheat stripe rust in China in 2007 and its control strategies. China Plant Prot 27:32–35
- Zhang YJ, Wang JQ, Jiang YY, Feng XD, Xia B, Liu Y, Zeng J (2008) Epidemic characteristics of wheat stripe rust in China in 2008 and its control strategies. China Plant Prot 28:38–40
- Zhang YJ, Jiang YY, Feng XD, Xia B, Zeng J, Liu Y (2009) Epidemic characteristics of wheat stripe rust in China in 2009 and its control strategies. China Plant Prot 29:33–36
- Zhao ZH (2004) Epidemic characteristics of wheat stripe rust in China in 2003 and its control strategies. China Plant Prot 24:16–18